arXiv:1407.3988v1 [math.DS] 15 Jul 2014

WILLIAMS' DECOMPOSITION THEOREM, SHIFT-FLIP EQUIVALENCE, AND THE LIND ZETA FUNCTIONS FOR SHIFT-FLIP SYSTEMS OF FINITE TYPE

SIEYE RYU

Abstract. Shift-flip systems of finite type can be represented by a pair of zero-one square matrices, which is called a flip pair. The concepts of half elementary equivalence, strong shift-flip equivalence, and shift-flip equivalence between flip pairs are introduced. If two shift-flip systems of finite type are conjugate, then there is a sequence of an even number of half elementary equivalence between two flip pairs. If there is a strong shift-flip equivalence between two flip pairs, then there is a shift-flip equivalence between them and the Lind zeta functions for those shift-flip systems coincide. When there is a shift-flip equivalence between two flip pairs, the Lind zeta functions for those shift-flip systems may not coincide. When the Lind zeta functions for two shift-flip systems of finite type coincide, there may not exist a shift-flip equivalence between their flip pairs.

1. Introduction

Recently, the concept of time reversibility has been studied in many fields. For instance, in celestial mechanics, time reversibility has been studied in order to find out the initial condition and the history of the universe; in thermodynamics, time reversibility has been studied for energy efficiency with the concept of entropy; and the application of entropy and time reversibility have attracted attention in information theory. In fields of mathematics, time reversibility has been studied in dynamical systems [10], ergodic theory [2], and automaton theory [3]. In symbolic dynamics, the concept of time reversibility was introduced in [4, 7]. In this paper, we discuss conjugacy invariants for shifts of finite type equipped with reversals of order 2. We begin with the definition of reversal.

Let (X, T) be an invertible topological dynamical system. A homeomorphism $\varphi : X \to X$ is said to be a *reversal for* (X, T) if φ is a conjugacy from (X, T) to (X, T^{-1}):

$$T \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ T^{-1}. \tag{1.1}$$

A reversal φ for (X, T) is said to be a *flip for* (X, T) if the composition of φ with itself is equal to the identity map of X:

$$\varphi^2 = \mathrm{id}_X. \tag{1.2}$$

If φ is a flip for (X, T), then the triple (X, T, φ) is called a *flip system*. In particular, if X is a shift space and φ is a flip for (X, σ_X), then the flip system (X, σ_X, φ) will be called a *shift-flip system*; and if X is of finite type, it will be called a *shift-flip system of finite type*.

Two flip systems (X, T, φ) and (X', T', φ') are said to be *conjugate* if there is a homeomorphism $\theta : X \to X'$ such that

$$\theta \circ T = T' \circ \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \circ \varphi = \varphi' \circ \theta. \tag{1.3}$$

In this case, we write $(X, T, \varphi) \cong (X', T', \varphi')$ and the homeomorphism θ is called a *conjugacy from* (X, T, φ) *to* (X', T', φ'). By (1.1) and (1.2), if (X, T, φ) is a flip system, then $(X, T, T^n \circ \varphi)$ is a flip system for all integers n; and by (1.3), T^n is a conjugacy from (X, T, φ) to $(X, T, T^{2n} \circ \varphi)$ for all integers n.

In this paper, we obtain a decomposition theorem for conjugacies between shift-flip systems of finite type, which is analogous to Williams' decomposition theorem, and provide a necessary and sufficient condition for two shift-flip systems of finite type to be conjugate. This is done in terms of matrices. (At the beginning of Section 2, we will verify that a shift-flip system of finite type is represented by a pair of zero-one square matrices, called a flip pair. If (A, J) is a flip pair, then the shift-flip system determined by (A, J) will be denoted by $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$.) To do this, we introduce the notions of half elementary equivalence and strong shift-flip equivalence. Strong shift-flip equivalence is a sequence of a finite number of half elementary equivalences. We will prove that if (A, J) and (B, K) are flip pairs and $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ are conjugate, then there is a sequence of an even number of half elementary equivalences from (A, J) to (B, K). We also introduce an analogue of shift equivalence for shift-flip systems of finite type, which will be called a shift-flip equivalence. Meanwhile, in [4], the Lind zeta function $\zeta_{A,J}(t)$ for a shift-flip system of finite type $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ has been obtained in terms of A and J. We will show that if there is a strong shift-flip equivalence between flip pairs (A, J) and (B, K), then not only is there a shift-flip equivalence between them, but the Lind zeta functions $\zeta_{A,J}(t)$ and $\zeta_{B,K}(t)$ coincide. We also consider the relation between the Lind zeta functions and shift-flip equivalence: in contrast to the fact that the Artin-Mazur zeta function is an invariant of shift equivalence, the Lind zeta function is not an invariant of shift-flip equivalence; and $\zeta_{A,J}(t) = \zeta_{B,K}(t)$ does not imply the existence a shift-flip equivalence between flip pairs (A, J) and (B, K) in general. Hence neither the existence of shift-flip equivalence nor the coincidence of the Lind zeta functions implies the existence of strong shift-flip equivalence.

Let us recall the concepts of elementary equivalence, strong shift equivalence, and shift equivalence. For more details, see [12] or Chapter 7 of [9]. We first introduce some notation. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite set. If X is a shift space over $\mathcal{A}$, we denote the set of all admissible blocks of X whose length is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by $\mathcal{B}_n(X)$:

$$\mathcal{B}_n(X) = \{w \in \mathcal{A}^n : \exists\, x \in X \text{ s.t. } w \text{ occurs in } x\}.$$

If A is a zero-one $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ matrix, then X_A will denote the topological Markov chain determined by A:

$$\mathsf{X}_A = \{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1\}.$$

In this case, we denote the restriction of the shift map of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to X_A by σ_A.

Suppose that A and B are zero-one square matrices. A pair (R, S) of zero-one matrices satisfying

$$A = RS \qquad \text{and} \qquad B = SR$$

is said to be an *elementary equivalence from* A *to* B. If there is an elementary equivalence (R, S) from A to B, then we write $(R, S) : A \approxeq B$. If $(R, S) : A \approxeq B$

and $a_1a_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathsf{X}_A)$, then there is a unique $b \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathsf{X}_B)$ such that

$$R(a_1, b) = S(b, a_2) = 1, \tag{1.4}$$

since R and S are zero-one. We define the block map $\Gamma_{R,S} : \mathcal{B}_2(\mathsf{X}_A) \to \mathcal{B}_1(\mathsf{X}_B)$ by

$$\Gamma_{R,S}(a_1a_2) = b,$$

where $a_1a_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathsf{X}_A)$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathsf{X}_B)$ satisfy (1.4). It is obvious that the map $\gamma_{R,S} : (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A) \to (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B)$ defined by

$$\gamma_{R,S}(x)_i = \Gamma_{R,S}\left(x_{[i,i+1]}\right) \qquad (x \in \mathsf{X}_A;\, i \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.5}$$

is a conjugacy from (X_A, σ_A) to (X_B, σ_B). The map $\gamma_{R,S}$ is called an *elementary conjugacy*.

A *strong shift equivalence of lag k from A to B* is a sequence of k elementary equivalences

$$(R_1, S_1) : A \approxeq A_2,\ (R_2, S_2) : A_2 \approxeq A_3,\ \cdots,\ (R_k, S_k) : A_k \approxeq B.$$

If there is a strong shift equivalence of lag k from A to B for some positive integer k, then we write $A \approx B$ (lag k), or simply $A \approx B$, and we say that A is strong shift equivalent to B. It is clear that if $A \approx B$, then there is a conjugacy from (X_A, σ_A) to (X_B, σ_B). Conversely, it is well known that if (X_A, σ_A) is conjugate to (X_B, σ_B), then A is strong shift equivalent to B. In other words, every conjugacy between shifts of finite type can be decomposed into the composition of a finite number of elementary conjugacies.

Let k be a positive integer. A pair (R, S) of nonnegative integral matrices satisfying

$$A^k = RS, \quad B^k = SR, \quad AR = RB, \quad \text{and} \quad SA = BS$$

is said to be a *shift equivalence of lag k from A to B*. If there is a shift equivalence (R, S) of lag k from A to B, then we write $(R, S) : A \sim B$ (lag k), or simply $A \sim B$, and we say that A is shift equivalent to B. Williams introduced this weaker relation in [12], and he conjectured that $A \sim B$ implies $A \approx B$. His conjecture, however, turned out to be false in [5, 6].

In Section 2, we introduce the notions of half elementary equivalence and strong shift-flip equivalence which are analogous to elementary equivalence and strong shift equivalence, respectively. If there is a strong shift-flip equivalence of lag k between flip pairs (A, J) and (B, K) and k is a positive even number, then the shift-flip systems of finite type $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ are conjugate. While, $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ may not be conjugate, when k is odd. In this section we will prove that if $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ are conjugate, then there is a strong shift-flip equivalence of lag $2k$ between flip pairs (A, J) and (B, K) for some positive integer k.

We devote Section 3 to the Lind zeta functions for shift-flip systems of finite type. We present the Lind zeta functions for shift-flip systems of finite type in terms of matrices. If there is a strong shift-flip equivalence between flip pairs (A, J) and (B, K), then the Lind zeta functions for $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ are the same.

In Section 4, we define a shift-flip equivalence in a similar manner to shift equivalence. We will show that (1) "there is a shift-flip equivalence between flip pairs (A, J) and (B, K)." $\not\Rightarrow$ " the Lind zeta functions for $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ coincide." and that (2) " the Lind zeta functions for $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$

and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ coincide." $\not\Rightarrow$ "there is a shift-flip equivalence between flip pairs (A, J) and (B, K)."

2. Half Elementary Equivalence and Strong Shift-Flip Equivalence

We first show that a shift-flip system of finite type can be represented by two zero-one square matrices. We begin with preliminaries. A flip φ for a shift space (X, σ_X) is said to be a *one-block flip* if

$$x, x' \in X \text{ and } x_0 = x'_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(x)_0 = \varphi(x')_0.$$

In this case, there is a unique map $\tau : \mathcal{B}_1(X) \to \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ such that $\tau^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}_1(X)}$ and that

$$\varphi(x)_i = \tau(x_{-i}) \qquad (x \in X;\, i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We call τ the *symbol map* of φ.

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set and A, J are zero-one $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ matrices such that

$$AJ = JA^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{and} \quad J^2 = I. \tag{2.1}$$

Since J is zero-one, and $J^2 = I$, it follows that there is a unique map $\tau_J : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\tau_J^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and that for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $J(a, b) = 1$ if and only if $\tau_J(a) = b$; and since $AJ = JA^{\mathsf{T}}$, we have

$$A(a, b) = A(\tau_J(b), \tau_J(a)) \qquad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}). \tag{2.2}$$

We define $\varphi_J : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\varphi_J(x)_i = \tau_J(x_{-i}) \qquad (x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}};\, i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $\tau_J^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$, it follows that φ_J is a one-block flip for $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ whose symbol map is τ_J; and (2.2) implies that $\varphi_J(\mathsf{X}_A) = \mathsf{X}_A$. Thus the restriction of φ_J to X_A is a flip for (X_A, σ_A). We denote the restriction by $\varphi_{J,A}$. A pair (A, J) of zero-one square matrices satisfying (2.1) will be called a *flip pair*.

We introduce some notation which will be used throughout the section. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set and that X is a shift space over $\mathcal{A}$. If $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} a_n \in \mathcal{B}_n(X)$ for some positive integer n, we define $\widetilde{w}$, $l(w)$, $r(w)$, $i(w)$, and $t(w)$ by

$$\widetilde{w} = a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_2 a_1,$$

$$l(w) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}, \qquad r(w) = a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} a_n,$$

$$i(w) = a_1, \qquad \text{and} \qquad t(w) = a_n;$$

and if $n = 2k - 1$ for some k, we define $c(w)$ by

$$c(w) = a_k.$$

If $\kappa : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a block map, we define $\kappa_n : \mathcal{B}_n(X) \to \mathcal{A}^n$ and $\widetilde{\kappa_n} : \mathcal{B}_n(X) \to \mathcal{A}^n$ by

$$\kappa_n(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} a_n) = \kappa(a_1)\kappa(a_2) \cdots \kappa(a_{n-1})\kappa(a_n)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\kappa_n}(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} a_n) = \kappa(a_n)\kappa(a_{n-1}) \cdots \kappa(a_2)\kappa(a_1) \qquad (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \mathcal{B}_n(X)).$$

Lemma 2.1. *If (X, σ_X, φ) is a shift-flip system of finite type, then there is a flip pair (A, J) such that $(X, \sigma_X, \varphi) \cong (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$.*

Proof. We may assume that X is a topological Markov chain. Since φ is continuous, there is a positive integer n such that

$$x, x' \in X \text{ and } x_{[-n,n]} = x'_{[-n,n]} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(x)_0 = \varphi(x')_0. \tag{2.3}$$

We define a set $\mathcal{A}$, and zero-one $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ matrices A and J by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(u, v) : u, \widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{B}_{2n+1}(X), \exists\, x \in X \text{ s.t. } x_{[-n,n]} = u \text{ and } \varphi(x)_{[-n,n]} = \widetilde{v}\},$$

$$A\left((u, v), (u', v')\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(u) = l(u') \text{ and } r(v) = l(v'), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$J\left((u, v), (u', v')\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \widetilde{v} = u' \text{ and } \widetilde{v'} = u, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set; and it is straightforward to see that (A, J) is a flip pair.

Now, we define $\theta : X \to \mathsf{X}_A$ by

$$\theta(x)_i = \left(x_{[-n,n]}, \widetilde{\varphi(x)_{[-n,n]}}\right) \qquad (x \in X;\, i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is obvious that θ is one-to-one and continuous, and it can be shown that

$$\theta \circ \sigma_X = \sigma_A \circ \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \circ \varphi = \varphi_{J,A} \circ \theta.$$

It remains only to show that θ is onto. From (2.3), we have

$$(u, v), (u', v') \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } u = u' \quad \Rightarrow \quad c(v) = c(v'). \tag{2.4}$$

Suppose that $y \in \mathsf{X}_A$. Say $y_i = (u_i, v_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since X is a topological Markov chain, there is an $x \in X$ such that $x_{[i-n,i+n]} = u_i$ for all i. From (2.4), we have $\varphi(x)_{[i-n,i+n]} = \widetilde{v_i}$ for all i and hence $\theta(x) = y$. □

Let (A, J) and (B, K) be flip pairs. A pair (R, S) of zero-one matrices satisfying

$$A = RS, \quad B = SR, \quad \text{and} \quad S = KR^{\mathsf{T}}J$$

is said to be a *half elementary equivalence from* (A, J) *to* (B, K). We note that $S = KR^{\mathsf{T}}J$ is equivalent to $R = JS^{\mathsf{T}}K$. If there is a half elementary equivalence from (A, J) to (B, K), then we write $(R, S) : (A, J) \approxeq (B, K)$. The following proposition shows that $(A, J) \approxeq (B, K)$ implies $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \cong (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B \circ \varphi_{K,B})$ rather than $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \cong (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$.

Proposition 2.2. *If* $(R, S) : (A, J) \approxeq (B, K)$*, then* $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \cong (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B \circ \varphi_{K,B})$.

Proof. We prove that the elementary equivalence $\gamma_{R,S} : (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A) \to (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B)$ in (1.5) satisfies

$$\gamma_{R,S} \circ \varphi_{J,A} = (\sigma_B \circ \varphi_{K,B}) \circ \gamma_{R,S}. \tag{2.5}$$

Suppose that A, J are $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ matrices and that B, K are $\mathcal{A}' \times \mathcal{A}'$ matrices for some finite sets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$. Since $S = KR^{\mathsf{T}}J$ and $R = JS^{\mathsf{T}}K$, it follows that

$$R(a, b) = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S(\tau_K(b), \tau_J(a)) = 1 \qquad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{A}'),$$

or equivalently,

$$S(b, a) = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad R(\tau_J(a), \tau_K(b)) = 1 \qquad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{A}').$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{R,S}(a_1a_2) = b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{R,S}\left(\tau_J(a_2)\tau_J(a_1)\right) = \tau_K(b) \qquad (a_1a_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathsf{X}_A))\,,$$

and hence (2.5) is proved. See the following diagrams: the 0-th coordinates are underlined.



□

The map $\gamma_{R,S} : (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \to (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B \circ \varphi_{K,B})$ is called a *half elementary conjugacy*.

Let (A, J) and (B, K) be flip pairs and let k be a positive integer. A sequence of k half elementary equivalences

$$(R_1, S_1) : (A, J) \approxeq (A_2, J_2),$$

$$(R_2, S_2) : (A_2, J_2) \approxeq (A_3, J_3),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(R_k, S_k) : (A_k, J_k) \approxeq (B, K)$$

is said to be a *strong shift-flip equivalence of lag k from (A, J) to (B, K)*. If there is a strong shift-flip equivalence of lag k from (A, J) to (B, K), then we write $(A, J) \approx (B, K)$ (lag k), or simply $(A, J) \approx (B, K)$, and we say that (A, J) is strong shift-flip equivalent to (B, K).

By Proposition 2.2, it is clear that

$$(A, J) \approx (B, K) \text{ (lag } k) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \cong (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B^k \circ \varphi_{K,B}). \tag{2.6}$$

Since σ_B^n is a conjugacy from $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ to $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B^{2n} \circ \varphi_{K,B})$ for all integers n, (2.6) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(A, J) \approx (B, K) \text{ (lag } 2k) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \cong (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B}),$$

and

$$(A, J) \approx (B, K) \text{ (lag } 2k-1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \cong (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B \circ \varphi_{K,B}).$$

We will see in Section 4 that $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ is not conjugate to $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B \circ \varphi_{K,B})$ in general. (See Example 1 and its Remark.) In Section 3, we will prove that the Lind zeta functions for $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \sigma_B \circ \varphi_{K,B})$ are the same. (See Proposition 3.2.)

Suppose that (X, σ_X) is a shift space and that φ is a one-block flip for (X, σ_X) with a symbol map τ. We consider the higher block system of (X, σ_X). For convenience, let (X_n, σ_n) denote the n-th higher block systems of (X, σ_X) for all positive integers n. We define $\varphi_n : X_n \to X_n$ by

$$\varphi_n(x)_i = \widetilde{\tau_n}(x_{-i}) \qquad (x \in X_n; i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

so that φ_n becomes a one-block flip for (X_n, σ_n) with symbol map $\widetilde{\tau_n}$. The shift-flip system $(X_n, \sigma_n, \varphi_n)$ will be called the *n-th higher block shift-flip system of* (X, σ_X, φ). If (X, σ_X) is of finite type, then there are flip pairs (A_n, J_n) such that

$$(X_n, \sigma_n, \varphi_n) \cong (\mathsf{X}_{A_n}, \sigma_{A_n}, \varphi_{J_n, A_n}) \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

by Lemma 2.1. The following lemma shows that there is a strong shift-flip equivalence of lag n from (A_1, J_1) to (A_{n+1}, J_{n+1}) for all n.

Lemma 2.3. *For each positive integer n, we have*

$$(A_1, J_1) \approx (A_{n+1}, J_{n+1}) \ (\log n).$$

Proof. We define a zero-one $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathsf{X}_A) \times \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(\mathsf{X}_A)$ matrix R_n and a zero-one $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(\mathsf{X}_A) \times \mathcal{B}_n(\mathsf{X}_A)$ matrix S_n by

$$R_n(u, v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = l(v), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_n(v, u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = r(v), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathsf{X}_A)$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(\mathsf{X}_A)$. It is straightforward to see that (R_n, S_n) becomes a half elementary equivalence from (A_n, J_n) to (A_{n+1}, J_{n+1}). □

We observe that a shift-flip system of finite type is not conjugate to its n-th higher block shift-flip system in general when n is even. The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.4. *If $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \cong (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$, then $(A, J) \approx (B, K)$ (lag n) for some even number n.*

For convenience, let (A_n, J_n) and (B_n, K_n) denote flip pairs which represent the n-th higher block shift-flip systems of $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ and $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$, respectively.

Proof. Suppose that $\psi : (\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) \to (\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ is a conjugacy. Then there are nonnegative integers s and t and a block map $\Psi : \mathcal{B}_{s+t+1}(\mathsf{X}_A) \to \mathcal{B}_1(\mathsf{X}_B)$ such that

$$\psi(x)_i = \Psi(x_{[i-s, i+t]}) \qquad (x \in \mathsf{X}_A; \ i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We may assume that $s + t$ is even by extending window size if necessary. Since there is a strong shift-flip equivalence of lag $(s+t)$ from (A, J) to (A_{s+t+1}, J_{s+t+1}) by Lemma 2.3, it is enough to prove the theorem in the case of $s = t = 0$. If ψ^{-1} is the inverse of ψ, there is a nonnegative integer m such that

$$y, y' \in \mathsf{X}_B \ \text{ and } \ y_{[-m,m]} = y'_{[-m,m]} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi^{-1}(y)_0 = \psi^{-1}(y')_0. \tag{2.7}$$

For each $k = 1, 2, \cdots, 2m+1$ we define a set $\mathcal{A}_k$ and $\mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_k$ matrices C_k and L_k as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{(u, w, v) \in \mathcal{B}_i(\mathsf{X}_B) \times \mathcal{B}_j(\mathsf{X}_A) \times \mathcal{B}_i(\mathsf{X}_B) : u\Psi_j(w)v \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathsf{X}_{B_r})\},$$

where $i = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $j = k - 2\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \le x < n+1 \Rightarrow \lfloor x \rfloor = n$),

$$C_k\left((u, w, v), (u', w', v')\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (u\Psi_j(w)v)(u'\Psi_j(w')v') \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathsf{X}_{B_k}) \text{ and } ww' \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathsf{X}_{A_j}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$L_k\left((u, w, v), (u', w', v')\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u' = \widetilde{(\tau_K)_i}(v),\ w' = \widetilde{(\tau_J)_j}(w) \text{ and } v' = \widetilde{(\tau_K)_j}(u), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{A}_k$ is a finite set; and it can be shown that (C_k, L_k) is a flip pair. We define a zero-one $\mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ matrix D_k and a zero-one $\mathcal{A}_{k+1} \times \mathcal{A}_k$ matrix E_k by

$$D_k((u, w, v), (u', w', v')) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u\Psi_{|w|}(w)v = l\left(u'\Psi_{|w'|}(w')v'\right) \text{ and } t(w) = i(w'), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$E_k((u', w', v'), (u, w, v)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r\left(u'\Psi_{|w'|}(w')v'\right) = u\Psi_{|w|}(w)v \text{ and } t(w') = i(w), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$(D_k, E_k) : (C_k, L_k) \approxeq (C_{k+1}, L_{k+1}).$$

For convenience, we write $C = C_{2m+1}$ and $L = L_{2m+1}$. Since $C_1 = A$ and $L_1 = J$, it follows that

$$(A, J) \approx (C, L) \text{ (lag } 2m).$$

On the other hand, (2.7) implies that $(\mathsf{X}_C, \sigma_C, \varphi_{L,C})$ is equal to the $(2m+1)$-th higher block shift-flip system of $(\mathsf{X}_B, \sigma_B, \varphi_{K,B})$ by recoding of symbols,. From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(B, K) \approx (C, L) \text{ (lag } 2m),$$

and hence

$$(A, J) \approx (B, K) \text{ (lag } 4m).$$

□

Remark. Every conjugacy between shift-flip systems of finite type can be decomposed into the composition of an even number of half elementary conjugacies.

3. The Lind Zeta Functions

Suppose that (X, T) is a topological dynamical system. If n is a positive integer, then the number of periodic points in X of period n is denoted by $p_n(T)$:

$$p_n(T) = |\{x \in X : T^n(x) = x\}|.$$

Suppose that the sequence $\{p_n(T)^{1/2}\}$ is bounded. Then the Artin-Mazur zeta function ζ_T, found in [1], is defined by

$$\zeta_T(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(T)}{n}\, t^n\right).$$

Suppose that G is a group and that $\alpha : G \times X \to X$ is a G-action on X. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set of finite index subgroups H, that is $|G/H| < \infty$, and for each $H \in \mathcal{F}$ set

$$p_H(\alpha) = |\{x \in X : \forall\, h \in H\ \alpha(h, x) = x\}|.$$

The Lind zeta function ζ_α of the action α is defined by

$$\zeta_\alpha(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{p_H(\alpha)}{|G/H|}\, t^{|G/H|}\right).$$

It is clear that if $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \times X \to X$ is given by $\alpha(n, x) = T^n(x)$, then the Lind zeta function ζ_α becomes the Artin-Mazur zeta function ζ_T. Lind defined this function in [8] for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Let D_∞ be the infinite dihedral group, that is D_∞ is the group with presentation $\langle a, b : ab = ba^{-1}, b^2 = 1\rangle$. From (1.1) and (1.2), for each flip φ for (X, T), there corresponds a unique D_∞-action $\alpha = \alpha_{T,\varphi}$ on X such that

$$\alpha(a, x) = T(x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha(b, x) = \varphi(x).$$

If m is a positive integer and n is an integer, then $p_{m,n}(T, \varphi)$ will denote the number of points in X fixed by T^m and $T^n \circ \varphi$:

$$p_{m,n}(T, \varphi) = |\{x \in X : T^m(x) = T^n \circ \varphi(x) = x\}|.$$

It is evident if the flip systems (X, T, φ) and (X', T', φ') are conjugate, then

$$p_m(T) = p_m(T')$$

and

$$p_{m,n}(T, \varphi) = p_{m,n}(T', \varphi') \tag{3.1}$$

for all m and n. From (1.1), it follows that

$$p_{m,n}(T, \varphi) = p_{m,m+n}(T, \varphi) = p_{m,n+2}(T, \varphi).$$

Hence

$$p_{m,n}(T, \varphi) = p_{m,0}(T, \varphi) \ \text{ if } \ m \ \text{ is odd;} \tag{3.2}$$

$$p_{m,n}(T, \varphi) = p_{m,0}(T, \varphi) \ \text{ if } \ m \ \text{ and } \ n \ \text{ are even; and}$$

$$p_{m,n}(T, \varphi) = p_{m,1}(T, \varphi) \ \text{ if } \ m \ \text{ is even and } \ n \ \text{ is odd.}$$

Thus it suffices to consider the numbers $p_{2m-1,0}(T, \varphi)$, $p_{2m,0}(T, \varphi)$, and $p_{2m,1}(T, \varphi)$ only $(m = 1, 2, \cdots)$. In [4], it is shown that the Lind zeta function of the D_∞-action $\alpha = \alpha_{T,\varphi}$ satisfies

$$\zeta_\alpha(t) = \zeta_T(t^2)^{1/2} \exp\left(G_{T,\varphi}(t)\right),$$

where

$$G_{T,\varphi}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(p_{2m-1,0}(T,\varphi)\, t^{2m-1} + \frac{p_{2m,0}(T,\varphi) + p_{2m,1}(T,\varphi)}{2}\, t^{2m} \right).$$

The function $G_{T,\varphi}$ will be called the *generating function of* (X,T,φ).

Proposition 3.1. *If (X,T,φ) is a flip system, then*

$$p_{2m-1,0}(T,\varphi) = p_{2m-1,0}(T, T\circ\varphi),$$

$$p_{2m,0}(T,\varphi) = p_{2m,1}(T, T\circ\varphi), \quad \textit{and}$$

$$p_{2m,1}(T,\varphi) = p_{2m,0}(T, T\circ\varphi) \quad (m = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

As a result, the Lind zeta functions for (X,T,φ) and $(X,T,T\circ\varphi)$ are the same.

Proof. It is obvious that the last equality holds. Since

$$T^m(x) = \varphi(x) = x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad T^m(Tx) = T\circ(T\circ\varphi)(Tx) = Tx,$$

it follows that

$$p_{m,0}(T,\varphi) = p_{m,1}(T, T\circ\varphi) \tag{3.3}$$

for all m. This proves the second one. From (3.2) and (3.3), the first one is proved. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let (A,J) and (B,K) be flip pairs. If there is a strong shift-flip equivalent of lag k from (A,J) to (B,K), then the Lind zeta functions for $(X_A,\sigma_A,\varphi_{J,A})$ and $(X_B,\sigma_B,\varphi_{K,B})$ are the same.*

Proof. If k is even, then we are done by (3.1). In the case where k is odd, we have the desired result by Proposition 3.1. □

Let (A,J) is a flip pair. It is well-known that $p_m(\sigma_A)$ satisfies

$$p_m(\sigma_A) = \mathrm{tr}(A^m). \tag{3.4}$$

A formula for $p_{m,n}(\sigma_X,\varphi)$ which is similar to (3.4) is known [4]. In order to present it, we need notation: if M is a square matrix, Δ_M will denote the column vector whose i-th coordinates are identical with i-th diagonal entries of M, that is

$$\Delta_M(i) = M_{ii}.$$

The following is proved on p.298 of [4].

Theorem 3.3. *If (A,J) is a flip pair, then*

$$p_{2m-1,0}(\sigma_A,\varphi_{J,A}) = {\Delta_J}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(A^{m-1}\right) \Delta_{AJ},$$

$$p_{2m,0}(\sigma_A,\varphi_{J,A}) = {\Delta_J}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(A^{m}\right) \Delta_{J}, \quad \textit{and}$$

$$p_{2m,1}(\sigma_A,\varphi_{J,A}) = {\Delta_{JA}}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(A^{m-1}\right) \Delta_{AJ} \quad (m = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

4. Shift-Flip Equivalence

Let (A, J) and (B, K) be flip pairs and let k be a positive integer. A *shift-flip equivalence of lag k from (A, J) to (B, K)* is a pair (R, S) of nonnegative integral matrices satisfying

$$A^k = RS, \quad B^k = SR, \quad AR = RB, \quad \text{and} \quad S = KR^{\mathsf{T}}J.$$

We observe that $AR = RS$ and $S = KR^{\mathsf{T}}J$ imply $SA = BS$. If there is a shift-flip equivalence of lag k from (A, J) to (B, K), we write $(R, S) : (A, J) \sim (B, K)$ (lag k), or simply $(A, J) \sim (B, K)$, and we say that (A, J) is shift-flip equivalent to (B, K). It is obvious that $(A, J) \approx (B, K)$ implies $(A, J) \sim (B, K)$. The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 1. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then (A, J) is a flip pair; and since A is symmetric, (A, I) is also a flip pair. Since $A = AJ$, we have $A^k = A^kJ = I(A^k)^{\mathsf{T}}J$ for all positive integers k; and (A^k, A^k) becomes a shift-flip equivalence of lag $2k$ from (A, J) to (A, I) for all k.

Because $\Delta_J = \Delta_{AJ} = \Delta_{JA} = \mathbf{0}$, the generating function of $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A})$ is equal to 0 by Theorem 3.3, but the generating function of $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{I,A})$ is not equal to 0; a computation gives

$$p_{2m-1,0}(\sigma_A, \varphi_{I,A}) = 0, \;\; p_{2m,0}(\sigma_A, \varphi_{I,A}) = 2^{m+2}, \;\; p_{2m,1}(\sigma_A, \varphi_{I,A}) = 0,$$

for all m, and

$$G_{\sigma_A, \varphi_{A,I}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_{2m,0}(\sigma_A, \varphi_{I,A})\, t^{2m} = \frac{4t^2}{1 - 2t^2}.$$

Thus (A, J) is not strong shift-flip equivalent to (A, I) by Proposition 3.2.

Remarks. (a) From Proposition 3.1 and (3.1), it follows that $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \varphi_{I,A})$ is not conjugate to $(\mathsf{X}_A, \sigma_A, \sigma_A \circ \varphi_{I,A})$. (b) The Lind zeta function is not an invariant of shift-flip equivalence.

The following example shows that there are shift-flip systems of finite type whose Lind zeta functions are the same, but which are not shift-flip equivalent to each other.

Example 2. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be shown that (A, J), (B, J), and (C, J) are flip pairs and that A, B, and C have the same characteristic polynomial:

$$\chi_A(t) = \chi_B(t) = \chi_C(t) = t(t-1)^4(t^2 - 3t + 1).$$

For convenience, let λ and μ be zeros of t^2-3t+1 with $\lambda > \mu$. A direct computation gives

$$p_{2m-1,0}(\sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) = p_{2m-1,0}(\sigma_B, \varphi_{J,B}) = p_{2m-1,0}(\sigma_C, \varphi_{J,C})$$

$$= \frac{8\lambda^m - 3\lambda^{m-1}}{11\lambda - 4} + \frac{8\mu^m - 3\mu^{m-1}}{11\mu - 4},$$

$$p_{2m,0}(\sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) = p_{2m,0}(\sigma_B, \varphi_{J,B}) = p_{2m,0}(\sigma_C, \varphi_{J,C}) = \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{11\lambda - 4} + \frac{\mu^{m+1}}{11\mu - 4}, \text{ and}$$

$$p_{2m,1}(\sigma_A, \varphi_{J,A}) = p_{2m,1}(\sigma_B, \varphi_{J,B}) = p_{2m,1}(\sigma_C, \varphi_{J,C})$$

$$= \frac{55\lambda^m - 21\lambda^{m-1}}{11\lambda - 4} + \frac{55\mu^m - 21\mu^{m-1}}{11\mu - 4} \quad (m = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

But the Jordan canonical forms of A, B, and C show that C cannot be shift-equivalent to A or B (See [11] or Section 7.4 of [9].):

$$J(A) = J(B) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & & & & & \\ & \mu & & & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad J(C) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & & & & & \\ & \mu & & & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore (C, J) cannot be shift-flip equivalent to (A, J) or (B, J).

To prove that (A, J) is not shift-flip equivalent to (B, J), a cumbersome computation is required. We first assume that (R, S) is a shift-flip equivalence of lag k from (A, J) to (B, K) for some positive integer k. We set

$$D + N = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & & & & & \\ & \mu & & & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & -1 & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu-1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 1 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{7}{8} & \frac{25}{16} \\ \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu-1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{7}{8} & -\frac{9}{16} \\ \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu-1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & -1 & 0 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{7}{8} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{7}{8} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$P_B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu-1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu-1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & -1 & 0 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu-1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & -1 & 0 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{11}{8} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{\lambda-1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{\mu-1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{13}{16} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{11\lambda-4}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{11\mu-4}} & 1 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{11}{8} & \frac{21}{16} \end{bmatrix}$$

so that

$$P_A^{-1} = QP_A^{\mathsf{T}}J, \quad P_B^{-1} = QP_B^{\mathsf{T}}J, \quad A = P_A(D+N)P_A^{-1}, \quad B = P_B(D+N)P_B^{-1}.$$

Since $AR = RB$, it follows that $A(RP_B) = (RP_B)(D+N)$. Thus we can find a matrix M such that

$$RP_B = P_A M, \tag{4.1}$$

and there are four possibilities of M:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & & & & & & \\ & k_2 & & & & & \\ & & k_3 & & & & \\ & & & k_4 & & & \\ & & & & k_5 & & \\ & & & & & k_6 & \\ & & & & & & k_7 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (k_i \in \mathbb{R};\ 1 \le i \le 7;\ k_4k_5k_6k_7 \neq 0),$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & & & & & & & \\ & k_2 & & & & & & \\ & & k_3 & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & k_4 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & k_5 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_6 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (k_i \in \mathbb{R};\ 1 \le i \le 7;\ k_4k_5k_6 \neq 0),$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & & & & & & & \\ & k_2 & & & & & & \\ & & k_3 & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & k_4 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_5 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (k_i \in \mathbb{R};\ 1 \le i \le 7;\ k_4k_5 \neq 0),$$

or

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & & & & & & & \\ & k_2 & & & & & & \\ & & k_3 & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_4 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (k_i \in \mathbb{R};\ 1 \le i \le 7).$$

Now from (4.1), we obtain

$$A^{2k} = RS = RJR^{\mathsf{T}}J = \left(P_A M P_B^{-1}\right) J \left(\left(P_B^{-1}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} M^{\mathsf{T}} P_A^{\mathsf{T}}\right) J. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $P_B^{-1} = QP_B^{\mathsf{T}}J$ and $J^2 = I$, we have $P_B^{-1}J\left(P_B^{-1}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} = Q$; and since $Q^2 = I$, (4.2) can be reduced as follows:

$$A^{2k} = P_A \left(MQM^{\mathsf{T}}Q\right)\left(QP_A^{\mathsf{T}}J\right) = P_A \left(MQM^{\mathsf{T}}Q\right) P_A^{-1}.$$

But $MQM^{\mathsf{T}}Q$ cannot be equal to $(D+N)^{2k}$, which is a contradiction.

References

[1] M. Artin and B. Mazur, *On periodic points*. Ann. of Math., **81**(1965), 82-99.

[2] G. R. Goodson, *Conjugacies between ergodic transformations and their inverses*. Colloq. Math. **84**(2000), 185-193

[3] J. Kari, *Theory of cellular automata: a survey*. Theoret. Comput. Sci. **334**(2005), 3-33

[4] Y.-O. Kim, J. Lee and K. K. Park, *A zeta function for flip systems*. Pacific J. of Math. **209**(2003), 289-301.

[5] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush, *Williams' conjecture is false for reducible subshifts*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5**(1992), 213-215

[6] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush, *The Williams conjecture is false for irreducible subshifts*. Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**(1997), 105-109

[7] J. Lee, K. K. Park and S. Shin, *Reversible topological Markov shifts*. Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **26**(2006), 267-280.

[8] D. Lind, *A zeta function for $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **228** (M. Pollicott and K.Schmidt, ed.)(1996), 433-450.

[9] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[10] J. S. W. Lamb and J. A. G. Roberts, *Time-reversal symmetry in dynamical systems: a survey*, Physica D **112**, 1-2(1998), 1-39

[11] W. Parry and R.F. Williams, *Block coding and a zeta function for finite Markov chains.* Proc. London Math. Soc. **35**(1977), 483-495. 1-17

[12] R. F. Williams, *Classification of subshifts of finite type* Annals of Math. **98**(1973), 120-153; erratum, Annals of Math. **99**(1974), 380-381.